

# Bloomboost Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1 Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

1.0 Identification	
Product Identifier	Bloom Boost Liquid Fertiliser
Other Means of Identification	APTUS Bloom Boost
Recommended Use and	Liquid Fertiliser
Restrictions on use	
Details of Importer	APTUS PLANT TECH Australia
	Unit 1/11 Didswith St, East Brisbane QLD 4169
Emergency Phone Number	Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

# 2.0 GHS Hazard identification

Classification of The	Reproductive Toxicity Category 1B
Hazardous Chemical	Acute Toxicity Category 5
	Eye corrosion Category 5
Signal Word	WARNING
Hazard Statement	May damage fertility or the unborn child
	Harmful if swallowed, May be irritating to eyes
Precautionary Statements	Obtain special instructions before use. Do not handle until all safety precautions have been read and understood. Use personal protective equipment as required. If exposed or concerned: Get medical advice/ attention.
GHS Pictograms	

#### 3.0 Ingredients / Composition %w/w

3.0 ingredients / compositio	11 /044/44	,	<b>.</b>									
Ingredient Name/Nature	<1	1>10	>10	>20	>30	>40	>50	>60	>70	>80	>90	>100
Proprietary Ingredients												
determined to be hazardous at												
that concentration												
Boric acid CAS 10043-35-3		8										
Zinc sulfate CAS 7733-02-0		8										

#### 4.0 First Aid Measures

First Aid Instructions	Danger? Response? Yes ⇔ Make comfortable, monitor Sono Send for Help.
	Airway? Breathing? No ⇔CPR (30 compress: 2 breaths). Defibrillation. So Yes (Recovery Position & Monitor)
Swallowed	Rinse mouth and SPIT, if conscious give a glass of water. For advice, contact a Poisons Information Centre (e.g. phone Australia 13 11 26; or a doctor.
Еуе	Rinse cautiously with running water for several minutes. Remove contact lenses, if present and easy to do. Continue rinsing. If eye irritation persists: Get medical advice/ attention.
Skin	Wash with plenty of water. If skin irritation occurs: Get medical advice/attention.
Inhaled	Remove to fresh air; rinse mouth and spit, For advice, contact a Poisons Information Centre (e.g. phone Australia 13 11 26; or a doctor.
Symptoms caused by exposure	Zinc sulfate may cause mild, transient irritation.
Medical Attention / Special Treatment	None typical.

5.0 Fire Fighting Measures	
Extinguishing media	As merited by packaging &/or surrounding materials, including Foam. Dry powder. Carbon dioxide. Water spray. Sand.
Specific Hazards arising from the chemical	None indentified
Special protective equipment and precautions for fire fighters HAZCHEM	None indentified

Continued over.....



# Bloomboost Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1

# Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

6.0 Accidental Release Measu	ires			
		•		

0.0 Accidental Nelease Meas	
Personal precautions,	Keep only in original container. Obtain special instructions before use, Wear protective
protective equipment and	gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection. Wash hands thoroughly after
emergency procedures	handling
Environmental precautions	None identified
Methods and materials for	Absorb liquid onto inert absorbent and dispose as solid waste.
containment and cleaning	Dilute residue and contain residue where possible.
ир	Take off contaminated clothing and wash it before reuse.

7.0 Storage and Handling	
Precautions for Safe Handling	Use only as directed on the label.
Safe Storage Practice	Store locked up. Maintained, tightly closed in original container.
- Avoid	Avoid storing this mildly alkaline solution with strong acids such as FASiLITOR or Siliforce
- Control	Cross contamination, do NOT mix with other substances,
- Maintain	Good personal and product hygiene
- Other	May cause hard surfaces to become slippery. May mark porous surfaces.

#### 8.0 Exposure Controls / Personal Protection

National Exposure	Boric acid (H3BO3) (CAS No: 10043-35-3) contained at <1% has a TWA exposure limit of 2		
Standards	mg/m <sup>3</sup> in Canada, 10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> in Germany, and 10 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (insoluble particles) in		
	Spain. The chemical also has a short term exposure limit (STEL) of 6 mg/m <sup>3</sup> (borate		
	compounds) in Canada, and 1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> in Germany.		
	Zinc sulfate has no allocated exposure standard in Australia.		
Control Banding	Band 2erc Band 1 – good Band 2 – use Band 3 – Other Household or industrial local exhaust enclose the		
	Household or industrial local exhaust enclose the Consumer Use hygiene vernitation process practice		
Faring aring Controls			
Engineering Controls	As required in that workplace.		
PPE	Wear protective gloves/protective clothing/eye protection/face protection		

#### 9.0 Physical & Chemical Properties

Appearance	Brown liquid	Partition Co-efficient n-Octonol/water	Not determined
Odour	Mild	Solubility	Water soluble
рН	7-8	Vapour Pressure	Not determined
Melting / Freezing Pt	Not determined	Vapour Density	Not determined
Boiling Point	Not determined	Relative Density	1.2 g/mL
Flash Point	Not determined	Auto-ignition Temp	Not determined
Evaporation Rate	Not determined	Decomposition Temp	Not determined
Flammability	Not classified as flammable	Viscosity	Not determined
Explosive Limits	Not determined	Other	Not determined

#### 10.0 Stability & Reactivity

Reactivity	Very weakly alkaline, may react with strong oxidizers and strong acids.
Chemical Stability	Formulated to be chemically stable
Possibility of Hazardous	None identified under prescribed conditions of use.
Reactions	
Conditions to avoid	Excessive heat, freezing, contamination with other chemicals.
In compatible materials	Acids, strong oxidisers, food
Hazardous Decomposition	None identified
Products	

Continued over page.....



# Bloomboost Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1

Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

# 11.1 Known Toxicological Information Boric acid CAS 10043-35-3 <1%

Acue Toxicity Constrained and the set of the	Ingredient Name / Type	Data
<ul> <li>Section and the section of the sectin the section of the section of the section of the section of</li></ul>		·
specific Target Organ         The stabilised and the rate being the rate specific and samptomatic cases. respectively           Skin Corrosion / Irritation         Low acute toxicity in animal tests following dermal exposure. The LDs: in New Zealand White (IXVV) rabbits is >2000 mg/kg bw. No mortalities occurred in the study. Local effects including erythema, cedema, atonia, desquamation, necrosis and some incidences of skin irritation were noted 2.4 hours after treatment.           Serious Eye Damage         Low potential for damage. Conjunctival redness, chemosis, and minor effects on the ins were noted in an eye irritation study conducted using a protocol similar to OECD TG 4.05.           Serious Eye Damage         Low potential for damage. Conjunctival redness, chemosis, and minor effects on the ins were noted in an eye irritation study conducted using a protocol similar to OECD TG 4.05.           Germ cell mutagenicity         Based on available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotice potential.           Reproductive toxicity         May damage terhulty. May damage the unbom child). The NOAEL for fertility of 10 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 1.5 mg boron/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 8.6 mg boron/kg bw/day) in as been determined (based on testicular effects) from two-year and three generations studies in rats. The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 8.6 mg boron/kg bw/day) in rats.           Specific Target Organ         Toxicity = single           System         The testes and the developing focus have been identified as the most sensitive indicator for tescisular bidwoff and wall and organ to bodyweigh rato: and redney	Acute Toxicity	>2000 mg/kg bodyweight (bw). Observed sub-lethal effects included central nervous system (CNS) depression, ataxia and convulsions. There is a large database of accidental or intentional
Skin Corrosion / Irritation         Low acute toxicity in animal tests following dermal exposure. The LDs: In New Zealand White (X2W) rabbits is >2000 mg/kg bw. No motalities occurred in the study. Local effects oincluding erythema, cedema, atonia, desquamation, necrosis and some incidences of skin irritation were noted 24 hours after treatment.           Serious Eye Damage Intration         Low potential for damage. Conjunctival redness, chemosis, and minor effects on the iris were incided in an eye irritation study conducted using a protocol simulator OECD TG 405.           Respiratory or skin Sematitization         Based on available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Carcinogenicity         Based on available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Reproductive toxicity         The available information indicates that boric acid is not likely to be carcinogenic.           Reproductive toxicity         The available information indicates that boric acid is not likely or boric acid (equivalent to 1.5 mg bourdwy of boric acid (equivalent to 1.5 mg boronkg bw/day) in tas.           Specific Target Organ Toxicity (STO) - repeated exposure         The testes and leftes that have been reported included: thigh prentat mortality and educed foretal body weight as well as malformations and variations of the eyes, CNS, cardiovascular system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental effects mutagenic enjthelium; impaired spermatogenesis; and reduced ferely for the system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and try workers and in populations with high environmental levels ob boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron exp		report provided only limited information on dose response, dose ranges of 0.1-55 g and 0.01-89
(N2W) rabbits is >2000 mg/kg bw. No mortalities occurred in the study. Local effects including entythema, codema, atonia, desquamation, necrosis and some incidences of skin inflation were noted 24 hours after treatment.           Serious Eye Damage Irritation         Low potential for damage. Conjunctival references is chanosis, and minor effects on the iris were noted in an eye irritation study conducted using a protocol similar to OECD TG 405.           Respiratory or skin sensitization         Based on available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Carcinogenicity         The available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Reproductive toxicity         Classified as hazardous for reproductive and developmental toxicity—Catagory 18: H300FD (May damage fertility, May damage the uncorn child). The NOAEL for developmental odd (equivalent to 9.6 mg boronkg bw/day) in rats.           Reproductive toxicity         Classified as the developing foctus have been identified as the most sensitive targets of boron toxicity = single exposure           Specific Target Organ Toxicity (STOT) - repeated exposure         The testes and the developing foctus have been boron levels and reported lesicular effects included. reduced organ weight and organ to bodyweight rate, atroph and degeneration of the spematogene spitelium; impaired speuse oboron. Three groups were fects is nellweight as well as malformations, and removered nellweighted in the stude solutive or developmental effects included. Focuced organ weight and organ to bodyweight rate, atrophy and degeneration of the spematogene spitelium; impaired species of boron. Thread and reported included. high prenatat morphy and degeneration potacid in the boron inclu	Skin Connector (Invitation	
Irritation         noted in an eye irritation study conducted using a protocol similar to OECD TG 405.           Respiratory or skin sensitisation         Studies indicate this chemical does not contribute to sensitisation reactions.           Carcinogenicity         Based on available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Carcinogenicity         The available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Reproductive toxicity         Classified as hazardous for reproductive and developmental toxicity—Category 1B; H360FD.           May damage te eluity. May damage the unborn child, The NOAEL for fertility of 100 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 1.7.5 mg boron/kg bw/day) has been determined (based on tesicular effects) from two-year and three-generation studies in rats. The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 9.6.           Specific Target Organ         The testes and the developing foetus have been identified as the most sensitive targets of boron boxicity (STOT) - repeated exposure         The testes and the developing foetus have been identified as the most sensitive inductor developmental effects that have been reported included: high pervalatemortality and reduced fects were investigated in an esveral epidemiological studies in Chinese and Turkish workers and in populations living in areas with high environmental levels of boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers: men living in local village, not in the boron industry (high soil boron); and men living in a distant village (normal soil boron in trush workers and opulations living on uncesin protal sub of ant shuw any	Skin Corrosion / Irritation	(NZW) rabbits is >2000 mg/kg bw. No mortalities occurred in the study. Local effects including erythema, oedema, atonia, desquamation, necrosis and some incidences of skin irritation were noted 24 hours after treatment.
sensitisation         Based on available information, not considered to have mutagenic or genotoxic potential.           Carcinogenicity         The available information indicates that boric acid is not likely to be carcinogenic.           Reproductive toxicity         Classified as hazardous for reproductive and developmental toxicity—Category 1B; H360FD           Classified as hazardous for reproductive and developmental toxicity of 100 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 17.5 mg boron/kg bw/day) has been determined (based on testicular effects) from two-year and three-generation studies in rats.           Specific Target Organ         The testes and the developing foctus have been identified as the most sensitive targets of boron toxicity in animal studies, with the rat being the most sensitive species. The reported Inscludar effects included: reduced organ weight and organ to bodyweight ratio, atrophy and degeneration of the spermatogeneic epithelium; impaired spermatogenesis; and reduced fertility. The developmental effects has been testween boron levels of boron. There groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, for the spermatogenesis; and reduced levels of boron. There groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, nort in the boric acid production plat were investigated. As seme analysis is the most sensitive subsective or developmental effects were not seen. Turkish workers also did not show any adverse testicular effects were not seen. Turkish workers also did not show any adverse testicular effects were not seen. Turkish workers also did not show any adverse testicular effects were intaked equiption was determined for the highest exposed Chinese egroup, adverse testicular effects were not seen. Turkish workers a	Irritation	
Carcinogenicity         The available information indicates that boric acid is not likely to be carcinogenic.           Reproductive toxicity         Classified as hazardous for reproductive and developmental toxicity—Category 1B; H360FD (May damage fertility, May damage the unborn child). The NOAEL for fertility of 100 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 17.5 mg boron/kg bw/day) has been determined (based on testicular effects) from two-year and three-generation studies in rats. The encideal NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 9.6 mg boron/kg bw/day) in rats.           Specific Target Organ Toxicity - single exposure         The testes and the developing foetus have been identified as the most sensitive targets of boron toxicity in animal studies, with her at being the most sensitive targets of boron of the spematogenic epithelium; impaired spermatogeneesis; and reduced fertility. The dotela body weight as well as malformations and variations of the eyes, CNS, cardiovascular system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental effects were investigated in several epidemiological studies in Chinese and Turkish workers and in populations living in areas with high environmental levels of boron. There groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, not in the boro induster (high soil boron); and men living in a distant village (normal soil boron content). In a Turkish study, reproductive effects of boron exposure in workers and general populations with high environmental bedies of exposure to workers and general populations with high environmental boron exposure in workers and general populations with high environmental boron showed on reproductive or developmental effects desplite a high mean calculated daly boron exposure (ht4.5 ± 6.57 mg boro		Studies indicate this chemical does not contribute to sensitisation reactions.
(May damage fertility. May damage the unborn child). The NOAEL for fertility of 100 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 17.5 mg boron/kg bw/day) has been determined (based on testicular effects) from two-year and three-generation studies in rats. The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 9.6 mg boron/kg bw/day) in rats.         Specific Target Organ Toxicity - single exposure       The testes and the developing foetus have been identified as the most sensitive targets of boron toxicity in animal studies, with the rat being the most sensitive species. The reported testicular effects included: reduced organ weight and organ to bodyweight ratio: atrophy and degeneration of the spermatogenic epithelium; impaired spermatogenesis; and reduced fertility. The developmental effects that have been reported included: high prenatal morality and reduced foetal body weight as well as malformations and variations of the eyes, CNS, cardiovascular system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental effects were investigated in several epidemiological studies in Chinese and Turkish workers and in populations living in a reas with high environmental levels of boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, not in the boron industry (high soil boron); and men living in a distant village (normal soil boron content). In a Turkish study, reproductive effects of boron exposure in workers and general population was determined for the highest exposed Chinese group, adverse testicular effects were not seen. Turkish workers as did not show any adverse testicular effects despite a high mean calculated daily boron exposure in workers and general populations with high environmental boron showed no reproductive of developmental effects. The highen levels of zinc in the soft tissue of huma		
Toxicity - single       toxicity in animal studies, with the rat being the most sensitive species. The reported testicular effects included: reduced organ weight and organ to bodyweight ratio, atrophy and degeneration of the spermatogenic epithelium, impaired spermatogenesis; and reduced fertility. The developmental effects that have been reported included: high prenatal mortality and reduced features and variations of the system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental effects were investigated in several epidemiological studies in Chinese and Turkish workers and in populations living in areas with bigh environmental levels of boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, not in the boron industry (high solito boron); and men living in a distant village (normal solito) areas with boron industry (high solito) boron; and men living in a distant village (normal solito) boron content). In a Turkish study, reproductive effects of boron exposure in workers employed in a boric acid production plant were investigated. As seme nanalysis is the most sensitive indicator for testicular toxicity in humans, semen parameters were evaluated in both studies. Even though a mean boron intake of up to 125 mg boron/day (over 100 times greater than the average daily exposure of the general population) was determined for the highest exposure to workers and general populations with high environmental boron showed no reproductive or developmental effects. The higher levels of zinc in the soft itsue of humans have been postulated to have a protective effect against boron toxicity. There was limited evidence of a reduction in reproductive and developmental boron showed no reproductive or developmental effects. The higher levels of zinc in the soft itsue of humans have been postulated to have a protective effect against boron toxicity. There was limited evidence of a reduction in	Reproductive toxicity	(May damage fertility. May damage the unborn child). The NOAEL for fertility of 100 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 17.5 mg boron/kg bw/day) has been determined (based on testicular effects) from two-year and three-generation studies in rats. The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid (equivalent to 9.6
Specific Target Organ Toxicity (STOT) - repeated exposure       of the spermatogenic epithelium; impaired spermatogenesis; and reduced fertility. The developmental effects that have been reported included; high prenatal mortality and reduced foetal body weight as well as malformations and variations of the eyes, CNS, cardiovascular system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental effects were investigated in several epidemiological studies in Chinese and Turkish workers and in populations living in areas with high environmental levels of boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, not in the boron industry (high soil boron); and men living in a distant village (normal soil boron content). In a Turkish study, reproductive effects of boron exposure in workers employed in a boric acid production plant were investigated. As semen analysis is the most sensitive indicator for testicular toxicity in humans, semen parameters were evaluated in both studies. Even though a mean boron intake of up to 125 mg boron/day (over 100 times greater than the average daily exposure of the general population) was determined for the highest exposure to workers and general populations with high environmental boron showed no reproductive or developmental effects. The higher levels of zinc in the soft tissue of humans have been postulated to have a protective effect against boron toxicity. There was limited evidence of a reduction in reproductive and developmental toxicity for zinc borate compared with boric acid in laboratory studies.         Aspiration hazard.       Dependent on mode of use; Inhalation absorption is assumed to be 100 %, as a worst case scenario.         Swallowed - Acute       Unlikely skin irritant         Delayed Health Effects.       None typical	Toxicity – single	toxicity in animal studies, with the rat being the most sensitive species. The reported testicular
Toxicity (STOT) - repeated exposuredevelopmental effects that have been reported incluided: high prenatal mortality and reduced foetal body weight as well as malformations and variations of the eyes, CNS, cardiovascular system and axia skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental in populations living in areas with high environmental levels of boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers, men living in local village, not in the boron industry (high soil boron); and men living in a distant village (normal soil boron content). In a Turkish study, reproductive effects of boron exposure in workers employed in a boric acid production plant were investigated. As semen analysis is the most sensitive indicator for testicular toxicity in humans, semen parameters were evaluated in both studies. Even though a mean boron intak of up to 125 mg boron/day (over 100 times greater than the average daily adverse testicular effects despite a high mean calculated daily boron exposure (14.45 ± 6.57 mg boron/day) in the exposed group. Other epidemiological studies of exposure to workers and general populations with high environmental toxicity for zinc borate compared with boric acid in laboratory studies.Aspiration hazard.Dependent on mode of use; Inhalation absorption is assumed to be 100 %, as a worst case scenario.Swallowed - AccuteUnlikely sini irritant Unlikely sini irritantDelayed Health EffectsNone typicalDelayed Health EffectsNone typicalDelayed Health EffectsNone typicalDelayed Health EffectsDoro dave are onsidered insufficient to warrant classification as an eye irritant. None typicalDelayed Health EffectsNone typicalDelayed Health EffectsNone		
testicular effects despite a high mean calculated daily boron exposure (14.45 ± 6.57 mg boron/day) in the exposed group. Other epidemiological studies of exposure to workers and general populations with high environmental boron showed no reproductive or developmental effects. The higher levels of zinc in the soft tissue of humans have been postulated to have a protective effect against boron toxicity. There was limited evidence of a reduction in reproductive and developmental toxicity for zinc borate compared with boric acid in laboratory studies.Aspiration hazard.Dependent on mode of use; Inhalation absorption is assumed to be 100 %, as a worst case scenario.Skin - AcuteUnlikely skin irritantUnlikely skin irritantUnlikely skin irritantSwallowed - AcuteEffects are considered insufficient to warrant classification as an eye irritant.Early Onset SymptomsNone typicalDelayed Health Effects from exposureThe critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acidInteractive effectsThe critical NOAEL for developmental effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf		foetal body weight as well as malformations and variations of the eyes, CNS, cardiovascular system and axial skeleton. Correlations between boron levels and reproductive or developmental effects were investigated in several epidemiological studies in Chinese and Turkish workers and in populations living in areas with high environmental levels of boron. Three groups were compared in a Chinese study: boron mining and processing workers; men living in local village, not in the boron industry (high soil boron); and men living in a distant village (normal soil boron content). In a Turkish study, reproductive effects of boron exposure in workers employed in a boric acid production plant were investigated. As semen analysis is the most sensitive indicator for testicular toxicity in humans, semen parameters were evaluated in both studies. Even though a mean boron intake of up to 125 mg boron/day (over 100 times greater than the average daily
Aspiration hazard.       Dependent on mode of use; Inhalation absorption is assumed to be 100 %, as a worst case scenario.         Skin - Acute       Unlikely skin irritant         Inhaled - Acute       Unlikely inhalation irritant         Swallowed - Acute       Low risk of acute intoxication         Eye - Acute       Effects are considered insufficient to warrant classification as an eye irritant.         Delayed Health Effects from exposure       None typical         Exposure Level & Health Effects       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Interactive effects       Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf		testicular effects despite a high mean calculated daily boron exposure $(14.45 \pm 6.57 \text{ mg} \text{ boron/day})$ in the exposed group. Other epidemiological studies of exposure to workers and general populations with high environmental boron showed no reproductive or developmental effects. The higher levels of zinc in the soft tissue of humans have been postulated to have a protective effect against boron toxicity. There was limited evidence of a reduction in reproductive and developmental toxicity for zinc borate compared with boric acid in
Inhaled - Acute       Unlikely inhalation irritant         Swallowed - Acute       Low risk of acute intoxication         Eye - Acute       Effects are considered insufficient to warrant classification as an eye irritant.         Early Onset Symptoms       None typical         Delayed Health Effects from exposure       None typical         Exposure Level & Health Effects       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Interactive effects       Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf	Aspiration hazard.	Dependent on mode of use; Inhalation absorption is assumed to be 100 %, as a worst case
Swallowed - Acute       Low risk of acute intoxication         Eye - Acute       Effects are considered insufficient to warrant classification as an eye irritant.         Early Onset Symptoms       None typical         Delayed Health Effects from exposure       None typical         Exposure Level & Health Effects       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Interactive effects       Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf	Skin - Acute	Unlikely skin irritant
Eye - AcuteEffects are considered insufficient to warrant classification as an eye irritant.Early Onset SymptomsNone typicalDelayed Health Effects from exposureNone typicalExposure Level & Health EffectsThe critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acidInteractive effectsExposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf		
Early Onset Symptoms       None typical         Delayed Health Effects       None typical         from exposure       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Exposure Level & Health Effects       Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf		
Delayed Health Effects       None typical         from exposure       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Exposure Level & Health Effects       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Interactive effects       Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf		
from exposure       The critical NOAEL for developmental effects has been established at 55 mg/kg bw/day of boric acid         Effects       Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf	······································	
Effects         acid           Interactive effects         Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf	from exposure	
Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf	Effects	acid
Other No additional data supplied.		Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf
	Other	No additional data supplied.

Continued over.....

11.2 Known Toxicological Information Zinc Sulfate (CAS 7733-02-0) <1%



# Bloomboost

Page 4 of 8 Prepared Date 23 May 2018

# Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1 Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

Ingredient Name / Type	Data
Acute Toxicity	Moderate acute toxicity was reported in mice (LD <sub>50</sub> 926 to 1891 mg/kg bw) and rats (LD <sub>50</sub> 920
	to 2949 mg/kg bw) after oral administration of zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-02-0) and zinc sulfate
	heptahydrate (CAS No. 7446-19-7)
Skin Corrosion / Irritation	Zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-02-0) produced <b>no skin irritation</b> in studies that were performed in
	accordance with OECD TG 404. Based on the similar bioavailability of the chemicals in this group
	to zinc sulfate, the data available support this conclusion for other chemicals in this group.
	In a skin irritation study carried out according to OECD TG 404, three male New Zealand White
	rabbits were exposed to 0.5 g of moistened zinc sulfate applied onto clipped skin for four hours,
	using a semi-occlusive dressing. Observations were made one, 24, 48 and 72 hours after exposure. No symptoms of skin irritation, systemic toxicity or mortality occurred. (EU RAR, 2004;
	REACH).
Serious Eye Damage	Zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-02-0) was reported to severely irritate the eyes when tested
Irritation	according to OECD TG 405.
Respiratory or skin	Not classified as a sensitiser (EU RAR, 2004; REACH). The chemical zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-
sensitisation	02-0) had low acute toxicity in animal tests following inhalation exposure, with no mortalities or
	toxic effects observed.
Germ cell mutagenicity	The weight of evidence indicates that Zinc sulfate is <b>not mutagenic to germ cells</b> .
Carcinogenicity	According to the U.S. EPA Guidelines for Carcinogen Risk Assessment (U.S. EPA, 2005), there
	is inadequate information to assess carcinogenic potential of zinc due to inadequate or
	inconclusive studies from occupational exposure to zinc and carcinogenic animal studies.
	Considering genotoxicity assays of zinc are considered to give an overall negative result, and
	given the high levels of endogenous zinc, the data available do not support a
Denne describes resister	recommendation to classify this chemical.
Reproductive toxicity	Reproductive and developmental toxicity has been investigated in several studies. Studies in rats provide evidence that high doses of zinc adversely affect spermatogenesis in males and impair
	fertility in females. The very high concentrations of zinc compounds (equivalent to 1000 mg/kg bw
	zinc sulfate heptahydrate), required to produce these adverse effects do not satisfy the criteria for
	classification.
Specific Target Organ	The critical health effects for risk characterisation include systemic acute effects (acute toxicity by
Toxicity – single	the oral route of exposure) and local effects (eye damage) for this chemical group. While fertility
exposure	toxicity has been observed at very high doses, the levels at which this occurs are unlikely to
Specific Target Organ	result from industrial use of the chemicals.
Toxicity (STOT) –	
repeated exposure	
Aspiration hazard.	As typical for all liquids
Skin - Acute	No acute effects anticipated. The median lethal dose (LD50) in Wistar rats was greater than 2000
	mg/kg bw. Clinical signs of toxicity consisted of low grade erythema, scales and/or scabs on skin
	exposed to zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-02-0) (EU RAR, 2004; REACH).
Inhaled - Acute	No acute effects anticipated. The chemical zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-02-0) had low acute toxicity in animal tests following inhalation exposure, with no mortalities or toxic effects observed.
	In a well documented inhalation study, male Syrian hamsters were exposed (whole body) to an
	aerosol of zinc sulfate (CAS No. 7733-02-0) at concentrations of 0.8, 3.1, 6.5 and 20.3 mg/m <sup>3</sup> for
	four hours. Under the test conditions, pulmonary macrophage clearance was significantly
	reduced at greater than 3.1 mg/m <sup>3</sup> with an EC <sub>50</sub> (concentration of test material to produce a 50%
	decrease in phagocytosis) value of 4.5 mg/m <sup>3</sup> for sulfate ions (as a surrogate for zinc ions) (EU
	RAR, 2004; REACH).
Swallowed - Acute	No acute effects anticipated for accidental ingestion (see early onset symptoms). Oral absorption
	bee been absenced to very from 0.000/ in burnons. Decale with time deficiencies tand to abserb
	has been observed to vary from 8-80% in humans. People with zinc deficiencies tend to absorb
	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal
	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral
	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25 %), sweat and saliva. Zinc
Evo Aquita	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25 %), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004).
Eye - Acute	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25 %), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this
Eye - Acute	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25 %), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this resolved within 72 hours. White necrotic spots protruded from the tissue of the lower eyelid,
Eye - Acute	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25%), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this resolved within 72 hours. White necrotic spots protruded from the tissue of the lower eyelid, nictitating membrane and/or sclera in all animals from day seven until termination at 21 days. The
-	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25%), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this resolved within 72 hours. White necrotic spots protruded from the tissue of the lower eyelid, nictitating membrane and/or sclera in all animals from day seven until termination at 21 days. The persistence and severity of the ocular irritation is classifiable as serious damage to the eyes.
Eye - Acute Early Onset Symptoms	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25 %), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this resolved within 72 hours. White necrotic spots protruded from the tissue of the lower eyelid, nictitating membrane and/or sclera in all animals from day seven until termination at 21 days. The persistence and severity of the ocular irritation is classifiable as serious damage to the eyes. Reported signs of toxicity include hunched posture, lethargy, ataxia, piloerection, splayed gait,
-	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25%), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this resolved within 72 hours. White necrotic spots protruded from the tissue of the lower eyelid, nictitating membrane and/or sclera in all animals from day seven until termination at 21 days. The persistence and severity of the ocular irritation is classifiable as serious damage to the eyes.
Early Onset Symptoms	greater proportions of administered Zn2+, while in those with excessive zinc, gastrointestinal intake is less (EU RAR, 2004). Faecal elimination is the primary route of elimination after oral exposure (70-80%). This is followed by elimination via urine (10 to 25 %), sweat and saliva. Zinc has also been known to be incorporated into hair and secreted in breast milk (EU RAR, 2004). 100% zinc sulfate caused corneal injury and epithelial damage in two out of three animals; this resolved within 72 hours. White necrotic spots protruded from the tissue of the lower eyelid, nictitating membrane and/or sclera in all animals from day seven until termination at 21 days. The persistence and severity of the ocular irritation is classifiable as serious damage to the eyes. Reported signs of toxicity include hunched posture, lethargy, ataxia, piloerection, splayed gait, laboured respiration, emaciation, red-brown staining around the eyes and diarrhoea



# Bloomboost Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1

# Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

Exposure Level & Health Effects	Dermal absorption of zinc has been demonstrated to be relatively low in in vivo animal studies. Absorption of zinc chloride acidified at pH 4, on intact skin of male Sprague Dawley (SD) rats was reported to be less than 2 % (EU RAR, 2004). Human studies have reported dermal absorption through damaged or burned skin but no statistically significant absorption through intact skin (EU RAR, 2004).
Interactive effects	Exposure to Zinc may mitigate some risk of effects of boric acid (see Scientific Committee on Consumer Safety (SCCS) 2010. Opinion on boron compounds. http://ec.europa.eu/health/scientific_committees/consumer_safety/docs/sccs_o_146.pdf
Other	No data

#### **12.0 Ecological Information**

•
Not classified as ecotoxic
Biodegradable
-
No data
No data
No adverse effects anticipated.

#### **13.0 Disposal Considerations**

ioio Disposar consideratio	
Disposal Containers &	Rinse container; dispose as permitted by local jurisdiction.
Methods	
Physical/chemical	None identified
properties that may	
affect disposal options.	
Effects of sewage	Diluted solutions are unlike to contribute to issues of concern
disposal.	
Special precautions for	Diluted solutions are unlike to contribute to issues of concern
incineration or land fill.	

#### 14.0 Transport Information

UN Number	Proper Shipping Name / Technical Name	Transport Hazard Class	Packaging Group
nil	nil	nil	nil
Environmental Hazards for Transport Purposes		Special Precaution	s for user
nil		nil	

# 15.0 Regulatory Information

Montreal Protocol	Stockholm Convention	Rotterdam Convention	Basel Convention	MARPOL
Not applicable	Not included	Not Included	Not Included	Not Included
SUSMP	Excluded by % fron	n requirements of SUSMP		
Prohibitions / Licensing Restrictions	Import requires BIC No other restriction			
APVMA	Excluded by purpos	Se		
NICNAS	All ingredients are i	ncluded in AICS		

# 16.0 Other Information

16.1 Consumer & General Usage Information		
Directions for use Dilute and apply as directed on the label.		
Directions for	Rinse under running water.	
Removal		
Nano Materials	None identified	
	K	

Continued next page .....



# Bloomboost Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1 Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🕿 13 11 26

16.2 SDS Preparation	
Date Prepared	23 <sup>rd</sup> May 2018.
Changes Made	First edition for Australia
Reference Standards	Preparation of Safety Data Sheets for Hazardous Chemicals Code of Practice February 2016. ISBN 978-0-642-33311-7. GLOBALLY HARMONIZED SYSTEM OF CLASSIFICATION AND LABELLING OF CHEMICALS (GHS) Fourth revised edition
Resources Relied upon include	Hazardous Substances Data Bank (HSDB) https://toxnet.nlm.nih.gov/cgi-bin/sis/htmlgen?HSDB Suppliers' SDS; RTECS Toxicity Database; IRAC; CDC NIOSH, HSIS, Safework Australia GHS Hazardous Chemical Information List. Information provided by manufacturer(s).
Dicalaimary This SDS provi	dea actaty data only for the product and aircumstances of use peripated. The SDS summarises our

**Disclaimer:** This SDS provides safety data only for the product and circumstances of use nominated. The SDS summarises our best knowledge of the specific, well-known and equivocally demonstrated health and safety hazard information pertaining to workplace use of the nominated substance(s) however the author expressly disclaims that the SDS is complete, is a representation or is a guarantee. Published and other resources have been relied upon, and in some cases conflicting information has been identified. Each user should read the SDS and consider the information in the context of their specific conditions and circumstances, and in conjunction with other products. If clarification is required or further information sought in order to make a risk assessment the user should contact the nominated sponsor company. The responsibility for products sold is subject to our standard terms and conditions that are available on request.

#### 16.3 Key abbreviations or acronyms used

	tions or acronyins used
%	Percent (parts per hundred)
*C or °C	degrees Celsius
<	less than
>	greater than
ACCC	Australian Competition and Consumer Commission
ADG	Australian Dangerous Goods
AICS	Australian Inventory of Chemical Substances
APVMA	Australian Pesticides and Veterinary Medicines Authority
AS	Australian Standard
ASCC	Australian Society of Cosmetic Chemists
bw	Body weight (nominally a human adult of 60kg is applied)
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
CAS	Chemical Abstracts Service (Registry Number)
CC	cubic centimetres (equivalent to mL)
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CMR	CMR substances: Article 15 of the EU Cosmetics Regulation 1223/2009 contains provisions on the use of
	CMR in cosmetic products. Typically substances classified as CMR substances Cat 1A, 1B, or 2 under Part
	3 of Annex IV Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 are banned for use in cosmetic products
COSING	The European Commission database with information on Cosmetic Ingredients & Substances Dangerous
	Goods
EINECS	European Inventory of Existing Commercial Chemical Substances (Identifying Number)
dw	Dry weight
DNEL	Derived No effect level
EU	Europe / European
FSANZ	Food Standards Australia New Zealand
g	gram
GHS	Globally Harmonised System (safety symbols and labelling)
GMO	Genetically modified organism
h or hr	Hour
HAZCHEM	Emergency action code of numbers and letters that provide information to emergency services especially
	fire fighters
HSIS	The Safe Work Australia Hazardous Substances Information System
IATA	The International Air Transport Association
IMAP	NICNAS Inventory Multi-tiered Assessment and Prioritisation
ICAO	The International Civil Aviation Organization
IFA	The International Fragrance Association
INCI	The International Nomenclature of Cosmetic Ingredients
kg	kilogram
<del>.</del>	
L	Litre
L LC <sub>50</sub>	

Continued over page.....



# Bloomboost

# Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1 Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

Prepared Date 23 May 2018

LD <sub>50</sub>	LD <sub>50</sub> is the average dose of a material, given all at once, which causes the death of 50% of a group of (defined) test animals. Normally quoted in mg/kg body weight. Products with a LD <sub>50</sub> of less than 5000mg/k are scheduled poisons in Australia (see SUSMP)
LD <sub>LO</sub>	Lethal Dose Low, is the minimum amount of a material shown to be lethal to a specified type of animal. Typically quoted in mg/kg body weight.
m or min	minute
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic metre
Max or max	maximum
mg	milligram
Min or min	minimum
mL	millilitre
mm	millimetre
mm Hg	millimetre of Mercury
MOS	Margin of Safety
MRL	Maximum Residue Limit
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet (see also SDS)
Nano	Nano(sized) material / Nano Technology;industrial materials (including a cosmetic ingredient)
	comprising 10% or more by composition that has been intentionally produced, manufactured or engineere to have either an internal or external property that is a size range typically between 1 nm and 100 nm.
ng	nanogram
NICNAS	The National Industrial Chemicals Notification and Assessment Scheme (AUSTRALIA)
NIOSH	The National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (USA)
NOAEL	No observed Adverse Effects Limit
NOHSC	National Occupational Health and Safety Commission (AUSTRALIA)
NOS	Not otherwise specified
NZS	New Zealand Standard
OECD	Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (Test Method number)
OSHA	The Occupational Safety and Health Administration (USA)
Perm.	Permethrin (Active ingredient of this formulation)
PEL	Permissible Exposure Limit
рН	(pH) A measure of acidic (less than 7) or alkalinity (above 7); extreme values represent extreme acidic or
<b>P</b>	alkaline conditions. Typically products with a pH less than three or greater than 11 are scheduled poisons (SUSMP)
PNEC	Predicted no effect concentration
ppb	parts per billion
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
ppm	parts per million
RTECS	The Registry of Toxic Effects of Chemical Substances
S2	Schedule 2, SUSMP <b>Pharmacy Medicine</b> – Substances, the safe use of which may require advice from pharmacist and which should be available from a pharmacy or, where a pharmacy service is not available from a licensed person.
S3	Schedule 3, SUSMP Pharmacist Only Medicine – Substances, the safe use of which requires
	professional advice but which should be available to the public from a pharmacist without a prescription.
S4	Schedule 4, SUSMP Prescription Only Medicine, or Prescription Animal Remedy – Substances, the
	use or supply of which should be by or on the order of persons permitted by State or Territory legislation t
	prescribe and should be available from a pharmacist on prescription.
S5	Schedule 5, SUSMP Caution - Substances with a low potential for causing harm, the extent of which car
	be reduced through the use of appropriate packaging with simple warnings and safety directions on the
	label.
S6	Schedule 6, SUSMP Poison - Substances with a moderate potential for causing harm, the extent of which
	can be reduced through the use of distinctive packaging with strong warnings and safety directions on the
	label.
S7	Schedule 7, SUSMP Dangerous Poison – Substances with a high potential for causing harm at low
	exposure and which require special precautions during manufacture, handling or use. These poisons
	should be available only to specialised or authorised users who have the skills necessary to handle them
	safely. Special regulations restricting their availability, possession, storage or use may apply.
S8	Schedule 8, SUSMP Controlled Drug – Substances which should be available for use but require
	restriction of manufacture, supply, distribution, possession and use to reduce abuse, misuse and physical
	or psychological dependence.
S9	Schedule 9, SUSMP <b>Prohibited Substance</b> – Substances which may be abused or misused, the
	manufacture, possession, sale or use of which should be prohibited by law except when required for
	medical or scientific research, or for analytical, teaching or training purposes with approval of
	Commonwealth and/or State or Territory Health Authorities.



# Bloomboost

# Safety Data Sheet Version 1.1 Australian Poisons Information (24 hours / 7 days) 🖀 13 11 26

Prepared Date 23 May 2018

S10	Schedule 10, SUSMP Substances of such danger to health as to warrant prohibition of sale, supply
	and use - Substances which are prohibited for the purpose or purposes listed for each poison.
SCCP	Scientific Committee on Cosmetic Products and Non-Food Products (EUROPE)
SDS	Safety Data Sheet, (previously called MSDS) now SDS under GHS
STEL	Short Term Exposure Limit
SUSMP	Standard for the Uniform Scheduling of Medicine & Poisons (AUSTRALIA) also Poisons Standard. Poisons are not scheduled on the basis of a universal scale of toxicity. Although toxicity is one of the factors considered, and is itself a complex of factors, the decision to include a substance in a particular Schedule also takes into account many other criteria such as the purpose of use, potential for abuse, safety in use and the need for the substance.
T1 or TI	NICNAS IMPA Framework Low risk; chemicals that are not expected to pose a concern to workers, public health or the environment
T2 or TII	NICNAS IMPA Framework Assessable risk; products not classified as T1 risk information on a substance- by-substance or chemical category-by-category
TGA	Therapeutic Goods Administration (AUSTRALIA)
TLV	Threshold Limit Value
TWA	Time Weighted Average
ug	microgram
uL	microlitre
UN	United Nations (number)
US or USA	The United States of America

End of SDS